

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,155	09/26/2005	Peter Lawrence Bailey	J3692(C)	4686	
²⁰¹ UNILEVER IN	7590 09/21/200 VTELLECTUAL PROF	EXAM	EXAMINER		
700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			YU, G	YU, GINA C	
BLDG C2 SOU ENGLEWOOI) I H D CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>,</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/531,155	BAILEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gina C. Yu	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABA	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju					
~=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims ·					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8,10,12 and 13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,10,12 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment filed on June 27, 2007. Claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 13 are pending.

Claim rejections made under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs, as indicated in the previous Office action dated April 3, 2007, are withdrawn in view of the claim amendment. Claim rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a), also indicated in the same Office action, is modified to address the claim amendment made by applicants, but the substance of the previous rejection has been maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoppe et al. (US 2003/0180277 A1) in view of Hersh (US 6011067).

Hoppe teaches anti-dandruff compositions for scalp. The reference teaches adding conjugated fatty acid, particularly conjugated linoleic acid in the weight amount of 0.00001-5 %, to promote the energy metabolism of the hair root. See [0054] — [0063]. With respect to claim 8, it is viewed obvious that the components are provided in separate containers. The reference further teaches that all geometric isomer forms and position isomer forms as well as the mixtures of such compounds are useful for the invention. See [0061]. Table 1 shows CLA composition which comprises 34.6 % of 9

Example 3 shows a shampoo comprising CLA of Table 1, gelling agent, and

pearlescent agents, which renders the composition opacity. See instant claim 12.

Examples 4-9 also teach clear shampoos, thereby also suggesting formulating clear

compositions with CLA.

The reference employs bioquinone as the anti-dandruff agent, and does not teach the anti-dandruff agents of the instant claims.

Hersh teaches that zinc pyrithione has been used for treatment of dandruff, seborrheic dermatitis, flakes and other skin maladies in the form of shampoo, lotion, and cream. See col. 10, line 13 – col. 11, line 8. Example3 illustrates a shampoo comprising 1 % of zinc pyrithione.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the composition of Hoppe by substituting bioquinone with, or incorporating, zinc pyrithione, as motivated by Hersh, because both components are functionally equivalent anti dandruff agents well known in the art. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing an anti-dandruff composition with similar efficacy.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on June 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that the claimed combination of CLA and zinc pyrithione shows unexpected synergistic benefits of reducing scalp itch associated with dandruff.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,155

Art Unit: 1617

According to Figure 1 of the specification, using 1% zinc pyrithione shampoo after using CLA lotion showed lowest scalp itchy rating as compared to using non anti-dandruff shampoo/CLA lotion or anti-dandruff shampoo/no CLA lotion.

Firstly, it is noted that applicants' arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the claim because claim 1 is not limited to any specific the amounts of the active ingredients. Examiner also views that the teaching of the prior arts overweighs the evidence of record in this case, or the differences of the itchiness degrees shown here does not seem to be so significant for a skilled artisan to conclude that a surprising and greater than expected results exist because the itch degree of the all tested samples are between about 1.5 and 2, where 1 marks mild and 2, moderate itchiness during week 2 – week 8. This is particularly the case because applicants also have admitted in the specification that CLA is known to treat itchy and irritated skin. See spec. p. 2, bridging paragraph. Furthermore, anti-itching property of zinc pyrithione or other anti-dandruff agents that are recited in claim 1 have been known, as evidenced by Derwent Acc. No. 2001-481320, which teaches that an anti-dandruff shampoo comprising climbazole and zinc pyrithione improves an anti-dandruff effect and anti-itch effect. See KR 2001008904 A, abstract. Thus, it is viewed that the observation of the reduced degree of scalp itchiness by making and using the claimed invention as motivated by the teaching of the prior arts would have been obvious to or expected by one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,155

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:00AM until 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,155 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner S. Many